"Hyper-Reality"

Let's consider an example.







If so, what's this?

"Hyper-Reality"

Let's look **closer** at this "burger"...

The **sesame seeds** on top of the bun have been carefully repositioned with **tweezers** to appear even and more attractive.

The burger is **uncooked** (so that it hasn't shrunk as it would in cooking). The top surface has been browned with a **blowtorch**, and — then **brown shoe polish** has been applied to give it a rich cooked beef appearance. A light brushing of **baby oil** is used to catch the light.



A water-soaked **tampon** has been **microwaved** and placed behind the burger to give the impression of **steam** rising from it. In reality it is stone cold.

A deliberately **stale bun** has been used as it is firmer and easier to manipulate for the right look.

Thick circles of **cardboard** are placed under and on top of each burger to increase the height of the item. A **cocktail stick** runs through the centre of everything to keep it together and stable.

The whole thing has been rotated and **I** photographed from its most **appealing angle**.

The cheese has been gently melted with a **hairdryer**.



The Point?

This *isn't* a burger at all.

It **can't** be eaten and it appears more **perfect** than any regular burger ever does.

It isn't a real burger it's a **simulation** of a burger.

It *isn't* 'real', it's **'hyper-real'**



REALITY

<u>This</u> is the real burger that people experience in the **reality** of day-today life.

It is *vastly* different to the idea we have been sold through **images constantly repeated** in the media.

The Point



So, when we think of a burger - which one do we see in our heads?



The Point



HYPER-REALITY

Yep, because we have been constantly fed the unreal image of what a burger looks like we have come to believe the simulation over the reality.

We've started to believe what the media <u>tells us</u> is real rather than what is <u>actually</u> real.

That's Baudrillard's whole point!

